2017 MATE ROV COMPETITION MARKETING DISPLAY SCORE SHEET - SCOUT AND NAVIGATOR

JUDGE NAME:

COMPETITION CLASS:

TEAM #: COMPANY/SCHOOL NAME:

MARKETING POSTER COMPONENT	SCORING CRITERIA and EXPLANATIONS POI						POINTS
COMPANY NAME AND SECTION HEADERS							
Criteria: • Poster Header: (The ONLY personalized heading) • Location: (Positioned DIRECTLY below the company name) • Required Headers (Abstract, Company Information, ROV Design, Competition Theme, Company Evaluation / Market Assessment, Acknowledgements)	Is missing MORE than one of the criteria: company name, location and/or any required headers		1 Is missing ONE of the criteria: company name, location, and/or any required headers		2 Includes ALL criteria: company name, location, and ALL required headers		SECTION TOTAL (2 points)
OVERALL VISUAL PRESENTATION							
Criteria: • Aesthetically pleasing • Logical progression & easy to follow • Same font throughout, clear & easy to read from 1.5 m • Header font consistent in size • Section font consistent in size	O Satisfies NONE of the criteria	1 Satisfies 1 out of the 5 criteria	Satisfies 2 out of the 5 criteria	Satisfies 3 out of the 5 criteria	4 Satisfies 4 out of the 5 criteria	5 Satisfies ALL 5 criteria	
							SECTION
Failed to use SI (Metric Units)	-1 point (Excluding the PVC fittings which are acceptable in inches / imperial units)						TOTAL
Size of poster exceeds the 36 in x 48 in size restrictions			-5 points and ineligible	for best poster award			(5 points)
GRAMMAR AND SPELLING		T		I	T		
Overall quality of the written work including spelling and conventions.	O Significant errors distract from the readers' understanding	Many errors make it difficult to read or follow	2 Frequent errors which slightly impact readability	3 Occasional errors that do not impact readability	4 Minor errors that do not impact readability	5 No errors in spelling or grammar	SECTION TOTAL (5 points)
PHOTO CAPTIONS AND CREDIT							
Criteria - for each photo: Caption explaining the graphic and/or labelled features of the ROV Credit given to the photographer Source of image cited (program, website, etc.) * Good quality image *Please refer to the competition manual for examples of how to properly write a caption and credit the photographer.		No photos, technical drawings, or diagram	I Images included but have no caption, photo credit, or source	All photos have captions and MOST credit the photographer and/or source	Most images are good quality. All images have captions and labels, credit the photographer, and/or source	All images are high quality. All images have captions and labels, credit the photographer and/or source	SECTION TOTAL (4 points)

*ABSTRACT								
Criteria: •An abstract is included within the 150 we •Introduces the company •Provides a vague or general description company designed & built specialized too the specific product demonstrations OR •Provides a clear and strong description company designed & built specialized too the specific product demonstrations •Relates competition tasks to the real wo	of how the ols to complete of how the ols to complete	O No abstract is included	Abstract is within word limit ONLY	Abstract is within the word limit and includes a vague or general description of how the tools are specific to the product demos	Abstract introduces the company, is within the word limit, and includes a vague or general description of how the tools are specific to the product demos	Abstract introduces the company, is within the word limit, provides a vague or general description of how the tools are specific to the product demos, and relates the tasks to the real world	Abstract introduces the company, is within the word limit, provides a clear, strong description of how the tools are specific to the product demos, and relates the tasks to the real world	SECTION TOTAL (5 points)
*COMPANY INFORMATION								
<u>Criteria:</u> •Photo(s) of all the staff (group or individe •Name(s) of all staff members •Titles of all staff members (CEO, CFO, eQualifications for each staff (May include)	etc.)	goal, major, etc.)	None of the criteria are met	1 Satisfies 1 out of the 4 criteria	2 Satisfies 2 out of the 4 criteria	3 Satisfies 3 out of the 4 criteria	4 Satisfies all the criteria	SECTION TOTAL (4 points)
*ROV DESIGN: NOTE: This should be	the bulk of the	Poster Display.						<u> </u>
Rationale should present: The marketable features of the ROV & outline the vehicle's safety features. Companies clearly explain the benefits of these design features. The benefits of the design features should connect to the real life tasks the product demonstrations replicate.	O No features & safety are identified, no justification is provided, no connection to the product demo	Few features or safety are identified, no justification is provided, no connection to the product demo	Some features & safety are identified & the design choices are partially justified but not connected to the product demo	3 Features & safety are identified & the design choices are partially justified with some connection to the product demo	4 Features & safety are identified & the design choices are justified with some connection to the product demo	5 Features & safety are well identified, the design choices are fully justified and connected to the product demo	6 Features & safety are successfully identified, the design choices are fully justified and clearly connected to the product demo	
Vocabulary and Phrasing	Overly technical, or difficult to follow by a non- technical audience	Generally understandable to a non-technical		Mostly understandable to a non-technical audience		Completely understandable to a non- technical audience		
Photos, Diagrams, or Sketches of the ROVs key design features.		-	Included but difficult to interpret or require technical knowledge to understand		2 Included and are understandable to a non- technical audience		SECTION TOTAL (11 points)	

*THEME							
The Written Response: Describes how ROVs are or could be used to support port security, health, commerce, and security now or in the future. Addresses either technical, economic, or socioeconomic issues. Information synthesized but not plagiarized		0 The theme is not addressed	A vague or unoriginal description of how ROVs are used to support port security, health, commerce, & security	of how ROVs are used to support port security, health,	3 A detailed explanation of how ROVs are used to support port security, health, commerce, & security	An original & detailed explanation of how ROVs are used to support port security, health, commerce & security	
Appropriately cite your references/sources	0 No sources cited			1 Some sources cited		2 Sources cited with a consistent format	
Photos, Diagrams, or Sketches	O Does NOT include photos related to the use of ROVs by port cities			1 Includes photos related to the use of ROVs by port cities			SECTION TOTAL (7 points)
*COMPANY EVALUATION / MARKET ASSESSMENT							
The company answers the following questions: •How would you characterize the company's overall success? •What do you consider the strengths of your company & the ROV it designed? •What areas do you see needing improvement? •What was the most rewarding part of this experience? •What would you do differently next time?	0 None of the questions were answered	A vague attempt was made to answer some of the questions	Some questions are answered completely but other require more attention	3 Most of the questions are answered but more thought or effort could be made	4 All of the questions are answered but more thought or effort could be made	5 All of the questions were thoroughly & thoughtfully answered	SECTION TOTAL (5 points)
*ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS			T				
The Company: •Identifies companies, organizations, and/or individuals who provide financial, logistic, and/or moral support	0 Does not include acknowledgements		Includes acknowledgements but does not recognize the MATE Center		Includes acknowledgements and recognizes the MATE Center		SECTION TOTAL (2 points)
		то	TAL MARKETING	DISPLAY SCORE =		/50 points	

COMMENTS:			