

ENGINEERING EVALUATION - NAVIGATOR		MAX SCORE = 50	
School name and # AS IT APPEARS ON THE OFFICIAL LIST:			
Judge's name:			
1 0 = Yes (1) or No (0)			
4 3 2 1 0 = 4: Outstanding, 3: Exceptional, 2: Excellent, 1: Good, 0: Poor or missing			SCORE
Teamwork/Presentation		15 pts max	
Company was prepared for the presentation and Q&A session		1 0	
Presentation covered the design, building, troubleshooting, and testing process		2 1 0	
Presentation highlighted design innovations/creative ideas		2 1 0	
Presentation described how the company brainstormed ideas to solve the mission and evaluated those ideas against competing alternatives		2 1 0	
Company demonstrates an understanding of the ROV systems, including the science behind them, and operations		2 1 0	
Each member participated and understands the basics of the vehicle plus details about at least one system		2 1 0	
Company demonstrates an understanding of the role that ROVs play in the mission theme		1 0	
Company effectively describes how the ROV was built to accomplish mission		2 1 0	
Company describes a lesson learned, either technical or non-technical		1 0	
Overall Design and Workmanship		7 pts max	
Vehicle was tested prior to the event and is ready for the water		2 1 0	
Company describes a troubleshooting technique(s) that demonstrates an understanding of the technical issues and presents a step-by-step process for addressing them		2 1 0	
Components easy to access for maintenance & troubleshooting		1 0	
Is robust; constructed for durability with attention to craftsmanship and marketability to potential customers		2 1 0	
Safety		4 pts max	
Vehicle visually displays warning labels and safeguards		1 0	
Fuse(s) in place on the positive side		1 0	
Company describes safety precautions necessary while handling/operating the vehicle		1 0	
Vehicle built according to the competition safety requirements and has passed the safety inspection (inspection sheet presented to judges)		1 0	
Systems Design and Operation			
Control and Electrical System		6 pts max	
Control system is thought through and designed logically		2 1 0	
Components logically and neatly incorporated		2 1 0	
Company demonstrates an understanding of how the control/electrical system works		2 1 0	
Propulsion		4 pts max	
Thrusters are securely attached		1 0	
Thrusters do no obstruct water flow		1 0	
Thrusters are waterproofed and protected		2 1 0	
Buoyancy and Ballast		4 pts max	
Company describes how buoyancy/ballast system takes missions into account		2 1 0	
Company demonstrates application and knowledge of skills in selection and usage of particular buoyancy system		2 1 0	
Payload Tools		4 pts max	
Payload tools are appropriate for accomplishing the mission		1 0	
Payload tools are unique in design and/or demonstrate creativity		1 0	
Company describes rationale for design and how those features contribute to accomplishing the mission		2 1 0	

School name and # AS IT APPEARS ON THE OFFICIAL LIST:		
Judge's name:		
Tether	4 pts max	
Tether is securely attached to and appropriately positioned on the ROV	1 0	
Strain relief present at the control box	1 0	
Tether is neatly bundled and protected and not a tripping hazard	1 0	
Company developed a tether management protocol	1 0	
Budget	2 pts max	
Company describes a budget	1 0	
Companies acknowledges organizations and/or individuals who contributed funds, equipment, and/or technical/moral support	1 0	
ENGINEERING EVALUATION SCORE:		
Discretionary Points	2 pts max	
Bonus points for a job well done	2 1 0	
Deductions	-6 pts max	
Company mentions that work was done by commercial companies and/or instructors or mentors and not able to provide a valid justification why	0 -1 -3	
Interference or coaching by mentors, parents, etc. during presentation	0 -1 -3	
(beyond helping with language barrier issues)		
TOTAL ENGINEERING EVALUATION SCORE:		

Comments: